



843.41127X00

TIN

Applicants: Satoshi TANAKA et al.
Application No.: 10/073,029
Filed: February 12, 2002
For: DIRECT-CONVERSION TRANSMITTING CIRCUIT AND
INTEGRATED TRANSMITTING/RECEIVING CIRCUIT
Art Unit: 2685
Examiner: Nahn T. LE

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

April 13, 2006

Mail Stop: AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A telephone conference was held with the above-named Examiner on January 10 2006, which was initiated by Applicants undersigned representative. The substance of the interview concerned the premature Office Action given while the above-identified application was under a three (3) months Suspension of Action, which commenced with the filing of a RCE Transmittal along with a Submission (Amendment) under 37 C.F.R. 1.114 on December 21, 2005. In this regard, Applicants undersigned representative requested (in the telephone interview) that the present application be withdrawn from issue and that prosecution be re-opened for the purpose of having the Supplementing Amendment, which was timely filed (within the Suspension of Action period), be

officially entered into the record and duly considered by the Examiner. The Examiner accordingly checked the USPTO's database (during the interview) and concurred that the Supplementing (Preliminary) Amendment along with a paper entitled "Letter Requesting Withdrawal of Office Action Given During Suspension of Action Period" were received in the USPTO on March 21, 2006, which is within the three-months Suspension of Action period. That is the Examiner agrees that the Supplementing (Preliminary) Amendment of March 21, 2006 was timely filed and, accordingly, the Examiner stated that the application will be withdrawn from issue for the purpose of acting on the Supplementing (Preliminary) Amendment and, therefore, no further action need be taken by Applicants, at this time, including with regard to the payment of the issue and publication fees.

The signed copy of the Examiner's Interview Summary (Form PTOL-413), which was sent (via facsimile) on April 12, 2006 to the offices of Applicants undersigned representative, following a telephone confirmation of the agreements reached, is, generally, correct in its summation of the discussions held and agreement reached during the telephone interview held on Monday, April 10, 2006. In the above-mentioned, follow-up telephone call to the Examiner on April 12, 2006, the Examiner confirmed, at that time, that no further action need be taken including the payment of the issue fee and publication fee that were originally due on April 13, 2006, since the application is being withdrawn from issue for the purpose of considering the Supplementing Amendment filed on March 21, 2006. (A copy of the Examiner's Interview Summary is enclosed herewith.)


In conclusion, Applicants and their undersigned representative thank the Examiner for his time as well as his courteousness concerning the above-discussed matter.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to the Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (Docket No. 843.41127X00), and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,


ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

By


Larry N. Anagnos
Reg. No. 32,392

LNA/gjb

Interview Summary



Application No.

10/073,029

Applicant(s)

TANAKA ET AL.

Examiner

Nhan T. Le

Art Unit

2618

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nhan T. Le.

(3) _____

(2) Larry N. Anagnos.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 April 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 11-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Supplemental amendment has been timely filed by the applicant's attorney. The application is being withdrawn from issue for the purpose of acting on the supplemental amendment filed on 03/21/06.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Nhan T. Le
 Examiner's signature, if required